## REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Claims 12-16 are allowed

Claims 3-4, 6-7, 9, 19, 21-22 (which depend from Claims 5, 8 and 13, respectively), are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5, 8 and 18 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 4 of copending Application No. 11/105,755 (Patent 7,561,618).

Applicants submit herewith a timely filed Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the double-patenting rejection based on copending Application No. 11/105,755 (Patent 7,561,618). Accordingly, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of Claims 5, 8 and 18 is overcome.

In light of the above, Claims 3-4, 5, 6-8, 9, 18, 19, 21-22 stand allowable. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the application at the earliest possible date.

An amendment after a final rejection should be entered when it will place the case either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. 37 C.F.R. 1.116; MPEP 714.12. This amendment places the case in condition for allowance.

T1-35731 -7-

Application No. 10/699,707 Amendment dated April 30, 2010 Reply to Office Action of April 28, 2010

Claims 12-16 are allowed. Claims 3-4, 5, 6-8, 9, 18, 19, 21-22 are allowable for the reasons set forth above. Applicants respectfully request allowance of the application as the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted.

Acc Hum

Ronald O. Neerings Reg. No. 34,227 Attorney for Applicants

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P.O. BOX 655474, M/S 3999 Dallas, Texas 75265 Phone: 972/917-5299 Fax: 972/917-4418

TI-35731 -8-